



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10066
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Hematological Markers Suitable for Improving Productivity of Helmeted Guinea Fowl Numida meleagris
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Only minor revisions are required before publication of this interesting manuscript. It should be mentioned though that the figure legends should be explained more thoroughly and some grammar adjustments are necessary before publication of this manuscript.	The minor revisions have been effected in the manuscript as suggested by the reviewer. The authors wish to commend the prompt and efficient work done by the reviewer in this manuscript. Thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I am wondering if there was an ethical committee approval for this study.	